

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/087,726 | Applicant(s) KINOSHITA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Brenda A Lamb | Art Unit 1734 | |

All Participants:

(1) Brenda A Lamb.

(2) Attorney Teng.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney Teng agreed to changes in claims 8, 9 and 11 proposed by Examiner Lamb in order to obviate 112 rejections and place the application. Attorney Teng agreed to the cancellation of non-elect claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)